UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America) v.)	
(Case No: 5:99CR11-6
)	USM No: 13973-058
	J. Charles Jones Defendant's Attorney
, ((
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of \blacksquare the defendant \square the Director of § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment impose subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United S § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	ed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's pretently the last judgment issued) of	viously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: 33 Criminal History Category: VI	C (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, amended guideline range. □ Other (explain): 	e guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum sentence of 120 months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence.	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	2/28/00 shall remain in effect.
Order Date: August 4, 2008	Michael Timber
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	Richard L. Voorhees United States District Judge